

SUMMARY

Nalyvaiko L. R., Zielienina M. V. Models of direct individual access to constitutional justice. The article emphasises that the issue of introducing a constitutional complaint into the national legal system has become a priority with the development of constitutional justice – the institution of constitutional law. Today, when a constitutional complaint is enshrined in Ukraine, the issues of improving its quality and making it accessible to persons entitled to file such a petition with the Constitutional Court of Ukraine remain urgent. In order to develop effective concepts for the development of the constitutional complaint institute, attention is paid to the genesis and existing models that have been implemented in our country for a long time. The concept of direct individual access to constitutional justice is considered. Special emphasis is placed on investigating the models of constitutional complaints that exist in states today, in particular traditional and non-traditional ones. It is stated that direct individual access to a court of constitutional jurisdiction is possible in such forms as: public complaint (*astio popularis*), quasi-public complaint (*quasi astio popularis*), individual proposal, *amparo* procedure, constitutional appeal. It is noted that it is also possible to appeal to the Constitutional Court in other, non-traditional forms, in particular, in the form of a constitutional appeal, regulated by the Law of Ukraine “On the Constitutional Court of Ukraine”. The opinion of the Venice Commission is that in the context of increased attention to the protection of human and citizen’s rights and freedoms, the model of full constitutional complaint is the most effective.

Keywords: *constitutional complaint, direct individual access to constitutional justice, public complaint, quasi-public complaint, individual proposal, amparo procedure, incomplete regulatory constitutional complaint, full constitutional complaint, constitutional appeal.*